W1 and W2 Results using NSU3D

Dimitri Mavriplis University of Wyoming

NSU3D Description

- Unstructured Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes solver
 - Vertex-based discertization
 - Mixed elements (prisms in boundary layer)
 - Edge data structure
 - Matrix artificial dissipation
 - Option for upwind scheme with gradient reconstruction
 - No cross derivative viscous terms
 - Thin layer in all 3 directions
 - Option for full Navier-Stokes terms

Solver Description (cont'd)

- Spalart-Allmaras turbulence model
 - (original published form)
 - Optional k-omega model

Solution Strategy

- Jacobi/Line Preconditioning
 - Line solves in boundary layer regions
 - Relieves aspect ratio stiffness
- Agglomeration multigrid
 - Fast grid independent convergence rates
- Parallel implementation
 - MPI/OpenMP hybrid model
 - DPW runs: MPI on local cluster and on NASA Columbia Supercomputer

Grid Generation

- Runs based on NASA Langley supplied VGRIDns unstructured grids
- Tetrahedra in Boundary Layer merged into prismatic elements
- Grid sizes up to 39M pts, 220M elements
 - Coarse ~1.8M pts
 - Medium ~4.5M pts
 - Fine ~14M pts
 - SuperFine ~39M pts

Sample Run Times

- All runs performed on NASA Columbia Supercomputer
 - SGI Altix 512cpu machines
 - Coarse/Medium (~15Mpts) grids used 96 cpus
 - Using 500 to 800 multigrid cycles
 - 30 minutes for coarse grid
 - 1.5 hrs for medium grid
 - Fine Grids (~40M pts) used 248 cpus
 - Using 500 to 800 multigrid cycles
 - 1.5 to 2 hrs for fine grid

W1 Convergence (fixed alpha=0.5)



- "Similar" convergence for coarse/med grids
- Apparent unsteadiness in residual for finest grid
- Force coefficients well converged < 500 MG cycles for all grids











































Streamlines at 0.5 degrees (W1)



Streamlines at 0.5 degrees (W2)



W1-W2 Grid Polar Comparison(Fine Grid)



W1-W2 CL-Incidence Comparison(Fine Grid)



W1-W2 Moment Comparison (Fine Grid)













Drag Decomposition

• Performed by W. Yamazaki

(Tohoku University, Japan)

- Decompose drag (through volume integral):
 - Induced Drag
 - Wave Drag
 - Profile Drag
 - Spurious Drag
 - Enables subtraction of spurious drag to get pure drag

DPW-W1



- ✓ The reduction of spurious drag to zero
- Almost constant of pure (wave+profile+induced) drag
- Good agreement between the total & pure drag at fine mesh
- Good agreement of the form factor (k) with following formula
 k = profile / friction ~ 97cts/61cts = 1.59

$$k = 1 + \frac{2C(t/c)\cos^{2}\Lambda}{\sqrt{1 - M_{\infty}^{2}\cos^{2}\Lambda}} + \frac{C^{2}\cos^{2}\Lambda(t/c)^{2}(1 + 5\cos^{2}\Lambda)}{2(1 - M_{\infty}^{2}\cos^{2}\Lambda)} \approx 1.53$$

(\Lambda = 14.8°, C = 1.1, (t/c) = 0.133, M_{\infty} = 0.76)

Visualizations-1



Visualizations-2



DPW-W2



✓ Almost same tendency with the results of DPW-W1

Visualizations-1



Visualizations-2



Entropy Drag @ 51.4%

W1 vs. W2



- ✓ Wave drag reduction in case W2
- ✓ Almost same about profile drag
- ✓ Induced drag increase in case W2 because of higher C_L

Summary

W1-W2 appear to be in asymptotic grid convergence range

– Cd difference ~ 1 count at 0.5 degrees

- Grids are getting finer40M pts ~1 hr on NASA Columbia Supercomputer
- Drag decomposition useful in providing better drag estimates on coarser grids